

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
JEAN LIN,

07-CV-3218 (Judge Holwell)

Plaintiffs,

- against -

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO
DEFENDANT'S
COUNTERCLAIMS

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Defendant.
-----X

Plaintiff, Jean Lin, by her attorneys Trief & Olk, hereby replies to the
Counterclaims of the Defendant, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

1-3. Admitted.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Admits that Defendant characterizes this action as such.
2. Admits.
3. Denied, but admits that there was an application for insurance dated
August 5, 2004.
4. Admitted.
5. Denied, but admits the response was made to the question.
6. Admitted.
7. Denied, but admits the response was made to the question.
8. Admitted.
9. Denied, but admits the response was made to the question.

10. Admitted.
11. Denied, but admits the response was made to the question.
12. Admitted.
13. Denied, but admits the response was made to the question.
14. Denied.
15. Denied.
16. Admitted.
17. Admitted.
18. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

allegations contained in paragraph 18.

19-22. Denied.

COUNT ONE: RESCISSION FOR MISREPRESENTATION

1. Plaintiff restates the admissions and denials set forth previously.
- 2-7. Denied.


AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1. The Defendant's counterclaim fails to state a cause of action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs demand dismissal of the defendants counterclaim together with costs and fees.

Dated: New York, New York
July 3, 2007

TRIEF & OLK

By: 
Eric Dinnocenzo (ED 3430)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
150 East 58th Street
New York, New York 10155
(212) 486 – 6060